

# Fluid Dynamics of the Human Nose: An overview of clinical perspectives enabled by CFD

Maurizio Quadrio EFMC14, Athens, Sept 14, 2022



#### The human nose: functions and anatomy





- At least 1/3 of the adult world population is troubled with nasal breathing difficulties<sup>1</sup>
- In 2014, the one-year (only!) cost of cronic rhinosinusits (alone!) in US (only!) was \$22bn<sup>2</sup>
- ▶ Certain nose surgeries have 50% failure rate<sup>3</sup>

### Huge room for improvement!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Stewart *et al.* Int J Gen Med 2010

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Smith *et al*. The Laryngoscope 2015

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Sundh & Sonnergreen, Eur Arch Otholaringol 2015

## The contribution of fluid mechanics

#### Scopus query: "CFD" + "nasal"



## Form and function





### The workflow: from CT scan to...

- 1. Segment the CT scan
- 2. Build a volume mesh
- 3. Compute a CFD solution (DNS, LES, RANS, ...)



## The workflow: from CT scan to...

- 1. Segment the CT scan
- 2. Build a volume mesh
- 3. Compute a CFD solution (DNS, LES, RANS, ...)



#### Scopus query: "CFD" + "nasal"



Bringing CFD into the clinical setting requires:

- 1. Assess reliability through a solid benchmark
- 2. Extract CFD-derived information that is useful to surgeons

# The benchmark

- ► An unique Reynolds number does not exist
- ▶ Most authors use RANS, but the flow is not turbulent
- ▶ Most authors use steady RANS, but the flow is low-*Re* and unsteady
- Accuracy of discretization is critical

The major limiting factor is lack of reproducibility: anatomies are sensible information!

## Creating a benchmark: a tomo-PIV experiment

- ► Based at OTH Regensburg (D)
- Patient-specific phantom model from CT scan
- ► Tomo-PIV at 15Hz, reconstruction volume  $\approx 1000^3$  voxels





### An instantaneous snapshot of the flow



## Currently, classic CFD (90% RANS, 9% LES) is too expensive for surgery planning:



- ► Time
- ► Skills

- Immersed-boundary, takes STL as input
- ► Verified II-order convergence
- ▶ 10-100x faster than OpenFOAM
- Speed compatible with a clinical setting
- ► (General interest?)



How to extract useful information

CFD solution alone does not help surgeons to find the best surgery

- ► Main reason: lack of functionally normal reference nose
- Shape optimization problem, but an objective function is lacking
- Strong inter-subject anatomical variations with different functional significance

We pursue two approaches, without and with an objective function

#### Database of:

- ► CT scans
- rhinomanometry data
- ► ENT evaluation sheet

Open and labeled data: huge value!

aaaaaa O 🖿 🖬 🖌	о щ о в 🖌		- 9, - <b>6</b>
Al files	● 〉Assets 〉Master ◀〉 ●		
Recent			
★ Favorites	Name +		Size
< Shares	D144	<	 171.7 MB
Tegs	0145	4	 82.4 MB
Shared to Circles	0142	4	 497.9 MB
	0141	4	 170.8 MB
	0140	4	 69 MB
	0139	4	 53.2 MB
	0138	4	 139.4 MB
	0127	<	 112.6 MB
	0136	۲	 81.2 MB
	0135	4	 237.4 MB
	0134	<	 163.7 MB
	0138	<	 76.6 MB
Deleted files	0182	<	 125.4 MB
51.5 GB used	0181	<	 73.7 MB
O Settings			

- ► Our approach: augment ML with CFD information
- ► Hypothesis: the flow field amplifies anatomic information
- Convection is exploited to "bring out" information (e.g. along streamlines)

# A neural network to predict pathologies

- A tree of deformations is built based on an orthogonal basis of primitive surgeries
- A number of healthy patients is given a combination of pathologies
- ► For ≈ 300 combinations, a hi-fi CFD solution is computed
- A neural network is trained to classify classes of pathologies
- ▶ Details in the talk by A.Schillaci, Sess.6, Thu 12:15

#### Our classifier:



## Bringing physics into the picture

#### Example: warming of cold air during inhalation





# Bringing physics into the picture (physiology, but clinical importance)

#### Critical for septal perforations





# Exploiting physics to find an objective function

Geometric information is the major limiting factor

- Thickness of the nasal fossae is often 1-2 voxels (even less for pathologies)
- No less than the CT grid must be used (typically 512<sup>3</sup>)
- Explicit reconstruction, segmentation, meshing are avoided



## Nasal resistance is not telling the whole story

- Restoring a good Nasal Resistance is not enough
- ► Cfr. the "Empty Nose Syndrome"
- Heat transfer characteristics must be also considered!

#### Scan of an Empty Nose



## Computational speed is mandatory

- The nasal fossae are thin, non-planar channels
- Less than Navier–Stokes suffices to compute nasal resistance
- A quasi-1d approximation in the "narrow" direction: Hele–Shaw for a non-planar channel
- Local porosity computed for each voxel as a function of the wall distance



Hypothesis: The functionally normal nose provides balanced heat transfer and hydraulic characteristics

- ► Analogy with heat exchangers
- An optimization problem is formulated and solved with adjoint techniques
- ► Lighting-fast code: 1 second on 1 core, all inclusive
- Currently under preliminary clinical test

- Active research thread with great potential
- Clinically relevant
- ► Highly multi-disciplinary: CFD, turbulence, modeling, flow control, numerics, shape optimization, Machine Learning, etc

## Acknowledgment to the OpenNOSE gang!

