



▶ POLITECNICO DI MILANO



Maximum likelihood estimation

Marco Lovera

Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie Aerospaziali, Politecnico di Milano



- Assume that N independent identically distributed observations

$$x_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

are available.

- The measurements are distributed according to

$$x_i \simeq f(q_i|\theta), \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

- Then, the *likelihood function* is defined as the joint probability of the observed data-set:

$$L(x|\theta) = f(x_1|\theta)f(x_2|\theta) \dots f(x_N|\theta).$$



- The ML principle consist in choosing as estimate of the parameter the one which makes the likelihood as large as possible:

$$\hat{\theta}_N : L(x|\hat{\theta}_N) \geq L(x|\theta).$$

- Intuitive intepretation:
 - the drawn sample was «the most probable» one;
 - so the value of the estimate which makes the probability of the dataset as large as possible must be close to the true value of the parameter.
- This intuitive idea leads to a systematic approach to estimator design, with many useful properties.



We measure samples drawn from

$$f(q) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(q-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

and we want to estimate the expected value from a single observation.

The likelihood in this case is simply

$$L(x_1|\mu) = f(x_1) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(x_1-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$



- Note that now the data point is fixed and the likelihood is a function of the parameter:

$$L(x_1|\mu) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(\mu-x_1)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

- This function can be interpreted as a Gaussian density with expected value equal to the data point.
- As the expected value of a Gaussian is its maximum, we see that the maximum likelihood estimator is

$$\hat{\mu}_1 = x_1.$$



- What if we now have N samples

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$$

drawn independently from the same density:

$$f(q) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(q-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

- and we want to estimate the expected value from the N observations.



The likelihood of the data set can then be written as

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N | \theta) = f_1(x_1 | \theta) f_2(x_2 | \theta) \dots f_N(x_N | \theta)$$

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N | \theta) = \frac{1}{(\sigma\sqrt{2\pi})^N} e^{-\frac{(x_1 - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \dots e^{-\frac{(x_N - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N | \theta) = \frac{1}{(\sigma\sqrt{2\pi})^N} e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$



- Note now that maximising the likelihood is the same as maximising its logarithm.
- Indeed $L \rightarrow \log L$ is a monotonic transformation which does not change the location of maxima.
- The logarithm of the likelihood is

$$\log L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N | \theta) = -N \log(\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}) - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

and its derivative with respect to the parameter:

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)}{\sigma^2}$$



- Therefore imposing stationarity we have

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \hat{\mu}_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i.$$

- The sample mean is a maximum likelihood estimator...



- Generally difficult to find closed forms
- Easier if L is twice differentiable with respect to the parameter.

- In this case stationary points are given by

$$L' = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = 0$$

- And the sufficient condition for local maxima

$$L'' < 0$$

can be used.



- As in the previous example, it is frequently easier to work with the logarithm of L (recall that $L > 0$ by definition).

- Therefore letting
$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta} = \frac{L'}{L} = (\log L)'$$

we seek estimators such that

$$(\log L)' = 0 \quad (\log L)'' < 0.$$



We measure a sample of N i.i.d. data drawn from

$$f(q) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(q-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

and we want to estimate the expected value AND the variance from the available dataset.

The likelihood is the same as in the previous example:

$$L(x|\theta) = f(x_1|\theta)f(x_2|\theta)\dots f(x_N|\theta), \quad \theta = \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix}$$



- The logarithm of the likelihood is

$$\log L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N | \theta) = -\frac{N}{2} \log(\sigma^2 2\pi) - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

and its derivative with respect to the parameters:

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \mu} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)}{\sigma^2} = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \sigma^2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)^2}{(\sigma^2)^2} - \frac{N}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}_N^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \hat{\mu}_N)^2.$$



- So the maximum likelihood solution to the problem is

$$\hat{\mu}_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_N^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \hat{\mu}_N)^2.$$

- Recall that we have seen that this estimator for the variance is biased for finite samples:

$$E[\hat{\sigma}_N^2] = \frac{N-1}{N} \sigma^2.$$

- Therefore the ML estimate is only asymptotically unbiased.



- ML estimators have a number of useful properties, which motivate their widespread use in applications.

- ML estimators are asymptotically unbiased:

$$E[\hat{\theta}_N] \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \theta.$$

- BUT they may be biased for finite N.

- ML estimators are consistent:

$$\text{plim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\theta}_N = \theta.$$



- ML estimators are efficient:

$$\text{Var}[\hat{\theta}_N] \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} M^{-1}.$$

- And finally, ML estimators are asymptotically Gaussian:

$$\hat{\theta}_N \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} G(\theta, M^{-1}) \quad \text{in distribution.}$$